

UNITED STATED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/628,396 08/01/00 **KYRTSOS** С 60,130-620 **EXAMINER** PM82/0117 CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS. 400 W. MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350 PAPER NUMBER BIRMINGHAM MI 48009 3634 01/17/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/628396	KYRTSOS
	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	Jeer Ke	DMAN 3634
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	beneath the correspondence address-
Period for Response		_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	IS SET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) of the third that is the period for response is specified above, such period shall, be a Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response 	days, a response within the statu y default, expire SIX (6) MONTH	story minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8///0		•
☐ This action is FINAL .		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance ex- accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 		
Disposition of Claims		
(Claim(s) 1-17		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
✓ Claim(s) /-17		is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)		
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		-
Application Papers	•	requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's	wing Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are o	bjected to by the Examiner.	
The annuities have been added to be the Commission		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	er.	
	er.	
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorion □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copie □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No.) 	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a s of the priority documents umber)	have been
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prioring □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copie □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Note in the received in this national stage application from the 	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a s of the priority documents umber) e International Bureau (PCT	have been
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorion □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copie □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No.) 	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a s of the priority documents umber) e International Bureau (PCT	have been
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prioring □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copie □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Note in the received in this national stage application from the 	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a s of the priority documents umber) e International Bureau (PCT	have been
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prion ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copie ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No.) ☐ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a s of the priority documents umber) e International Bureau (PCT	have been
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copie ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No.) ☐ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a s of the priority documents umber) e International Bureau (PCT) er No(s). 2	have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No. 4

Serial Number: 09/628,396 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1, 2, and 4-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Zhang et al. Zhang et al disclose an object detection system and the method for detection for a vehicle comprising an emitter having a pulse/ultrasonic signal mounted to a vehicle window frame, a receiver mounted to a vehicle window frame, and a controller for mapping a detection area.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al in view of Trett et al. All of the elements are discussed above except providing the emitter of Zhang et al to be an electromagnetic signal. Trett et al discloses a detection system comprising emitters having an electromagnetic signal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the emitter of Zhang et al to send an electromagnetic signal as taught by Trett et al since an electromagnetic signal is a faster and more sensitive signal.

Serial Number: 09/628,396 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. patent to Zwebner discloses an electromagnetic sensor. U.S. patents to Gionet et al., and Morrow et al disclose elements similar to that of the applicants invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerry Redman at telephone number (703) 308-2168.

Jerry Redman Primary Examiner